## Statistics of Income Sales of Capital Assets Sample Redesign for Tax Year 2007

Yan K. Liu, Jana Scali, Michael Strudler and Janette Wilson Statstics of Income, P.O.Box 2608, Washington, DC 20013

**Abstract:** Statistics of Income of IRS developed a stratified sample of individual returns to study the Form 1040 Sales of Capital Assets panel (SOCA) in tax year 1999. It was a cross-sectional sample and drawn from the population of all individual returns of tax year 1999. From this 1999 cross-sectional SOCA sample, a small representative sample was selected to serve as the base-year of the panel sample. Due to various resource and planning constraints, no refreshment sample has been added to this panel sample since that tax year. Subsequently, the SOCA panel sample has drifted and is no longer representative. Therefore, a new cross-sectional SOCA sample will be selected for the tax year 2007 and a new panel sample will be developed from it. To efficiently allocate the sample size across strata, the standard deviation and cost estimates from the tax year 2005 sample are used.

Keywords: Continuous Work History Sample, Neyman Optimum Allocation, Statistics of Income, stratified sample.

## **Background**

The Statistics of Income (SOI) of the IRS selects a cross-sectional stratified random sample of individual returns from the population of all U.S. individual tax returns filed to the IRS every year. This yearly sample is used for various studies, including the study of Form 1040 items of Sales of Capital Assets (SOCA Sales of Capital Assets (SOCA), such as the total amount of Sales Price, Basis, and Net Gain/Loss. However, the *individual return sample* provides SOCA data only at the return level, not at the capital asset transaction level because of the high processing cost associated with editing the finer level data. To study the SOCA at the transaction level, a smaller representative sample was selected from the Tax Year 1999 individual return sample, called the *SOCA cross-sectional Sample*. The same sample design as the 1999 individual return sample was used and the weights were adjusted accordingly. Further, from this 1999 cross-sectional SOCA sample file, a subsample was selected to serve as the base-year for a *SOCA panel sample*, in which returns have been followed in subsequent tax years. The SOCA panel is also a stratified random sample, but the stratum definition is different from that of the SOCA cross-sectional sample and individual return sample. Due to various resource and planning constraints, no refreshment sample has been added to this panel sample since that tax year. Subsequently, the SOCA panel sample has drifted and is no longer representative of the current year population. Also, 1999 was the last year that SOI had a SOCA cross-sectional file. Therefore, a new cross-sectional SOCA sample is needed for the Tax Year 2007 and a new panel sample will be developed from it.

Since there is a close relationship between the individual return sample, SOCA cross-sectional sample and SOCA panel sample, it is important to understand how these samples are related. In Tax Year 1999, the individual return sample of 176,966 returns was drawn from the population of 127,321,626 returns; the SOCA cross-sectional sample was a subsample of 121,053 returns of the 176,966 individual sample returns; and the SOCA panel sample of 83,432 returns was a subsample of the SOCA cross-sectional sample. The stratum boundaries of the SOCA cross-sectional sample followed the same boundaries used in the individual sample, but the SOCA panel sample used different stratum boundaries. The details are given below.

The individual return sample is a stratified random sample (Testa and Scali, 2005). The stratification is achieved by the return type code, as shown in Table 1, and income code, as shown in Table 2. The income code is determined by the income classification and the 'degree of interest' for the modeling purpose. It is a four-level categorical variable where '1' is assigned to returns that are least interesting and '4' to those most interesting. The final stratification is achieved by the combination of return type code and income code, as summarized in Table 3. Each sample code identifies a stratum. As shown in Table 3, returns with a return type code of 1 or 2 indicating returns with high nontaxable income or large business receipts respectively sampled with certainty, regardless of the income amount. The rest of the returns are divided into 24 income classes within each tax return type. The sample consists of two parts; a Bernoulli sample and a CWHS (Continuous Work History Sample) (Weber, 2001). A Bernoulli sample is selected independently from each sample code with rates ranging from 0.1% to 100%. The sample selection utilizes a permanent random number that is an integer function of the primary taxpayer's Social Security Number, called the Transformed Taxpayer Identification Number (TTIN). The last five digits of the TTIN is a pseudo-random number. A return for which the pseudo-random number is less than the sampling rate multiplied by 100,000 is selected in the sample. The selection criteria, which are given in Table 4, show that a same sampling rate is used for sample codes with the same income code except for sample code 101-124 and 201-204 in which all returns are taken with certainty. For example, a sampling rate of 33.4% is used for sample codes 003, 303, 403, 503, 603, 703 and 803. In other words, population returns with the last five digits of the TTIN smaller than 33,400 in those sample codes are selected. In addition to returns selected using the pseudo-random number, returns having one of the specific final four digits in the taxpayer's SSN are also selected. The returns that have one of the specific final four digits in the taxpayer's SSN form a special sub-sample, called the Continuous Work History Sample (CWHS)<sup>1</sup>. Before 2005, there were five specific final four digits used for CWHS, which represented 23% of

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> CWHS returns are considered as randomly selected since the SSN endings are approximately random.

the individual return sample. Starting from 2005, ten specific final four digits have been used, which represent 46% of the individual return sample. Note that some returns selected by TTIN may also be part of the CWHS.

**Table 1 - Return Type Code** 

| Return Type<br>Code | Special Category                      |  |  |  |
|---------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| 1                   | High Income Nontaxable Returns        |  |  |  |
| 2                   | Large Business Receipts               |  |  |  |
| 3                   | Form 2555 (Foreign Earned Income)     |  |  |  |
| 4                   | Form 1116 & Schedule C or F           |  |  |  |
| 5                   | Form 1116 (Foreign Tax Credit)        |  |  |  |
| 6                   | Schedule C & Schedule F               |  |  |  |
| 7                   | Schedule C (Non-farm Sole Proprietors |  |  |  |
| 8                   | Schedule F (Farm Sole Proprietors)    |  |  |  |
| 0                   | All Others                            |  |  |  |

**Table 2 - Income Code** 

| Income Code | Income Range                     | Degree of Interest |
|-------------|----------------------------------|--------------------|
|             | NEGATIVE INCOME                  |                    |
| 01          | \$10,000,000 or more             | All                |
| 02          | \$5,000,000 - under \$10,000,000 | All                |
| 03          | \$2,000,000 - under \$5,000,000  | All                |
| 04          | \$1,000,000 - under \$2,000,000  | All                |
| 05          | \$500,000 - under \$1,000,000    | All                |
| 06          | \$250,000 - under \$500,000      | All                |
| 07          | \$120,000 - under \$250,000      | All                |
| 08          | \$60,000 - under \$120,000       | All                |
| 09          | Under \$60,000                   | All                |
|             | POSITIVE INCOME                  |                    |
| 10          | Under \$30,000                   | 1                  |
| 11          | Under \$30,000                   | 2                  |
| 12          | Under \$30,000                   | 3-4                |
| 13          | \$30,000 - under \$60,000        | 1-2                |
| 14          | \$30,000 - under \$60,000        | 3-4                |
| 15          | \$60,000 - under \$120,000       | 1-3                |
| 16          | \$60,000 - under \$120,000       | 4                  |
| 17          | \$120,000 - under \$250,000      | 1-3                |
| 18          | \$120,000 - under \$250,000      | 4                  |
| 19          | \$250,000 - under \$500,000      | All                |
| 20          | \$500,000 - under \$1,000,000    | All                |
| 21          | \$1,000,000 - under \$2,000,000  | All                |
| 22          | \$2,000,000 - under \$5,000,000  | All                |
| 23          | \$5,000,000 - under \$10,000,000 | All                |
| 24          | \$10,000,000 or more             | All                |

**Table 3 - Sample Code (Stratum)** 

| Sample Code | Return Type Code | Income Code | # Of Strata |
|-------------|------------------|-------------|-------------|
| 101-124     | 1                | all         | 1           |
| 201-224     | 2                | all         | 1           |
| 301-324     | 3                | 01-24       | 24          |
| 401-424     | 4                | 01-24       | 24          |
| 501-524     | 5                | 01-24       | 24          |
| 601-624     | 6                | 01-64       | 24          |
| 701-724     | 7                | 01-24       | 24          |
| 801-824     | 8                | 01-24       | 24          |
| 001-024     | 0                | 01-24       | 24          |

Table 4 - 2005 Individual Return Sample Random Selection Criteria

| Income Code | Sample Code | Cut-off of the Last Five<br>Digits of TTIN* |  |
|-------------|-------------|---|--|
| All         | 101 – 124   | All   |  |
| All         | 201 - 224   | All   |  |
| 01          | 301 - 801   | All   |  |
| 02          | 302 - 802   | All   |  |
| 03          | 303 - 803   | 33,399                                      |  |
| 04          | 304 - 804   | 15,999                                      |  |
| 05          | 305 - 805   | 3,309                                       |  |
| 06          | 306 - 806   | 894   |  |
| 07          | 307 - 807   | 413   |  |
| 08          | 308 - 808   | 211   |  |
| 09          | 309 - 809   | 86  |  |
| 10          | 310 - 810   | 0   |  |
| 11          | 311 - 811   | 0   |  |
| 12          | 312 - 812   | 53  |  |
| 13          | 313 - 813   | 0   |  |
| 14          | 314 - 814   | 57  |  |
| 15          | 315 - 815   | 0   |  |
| 16          | 316 - 816   | 50  |  |
| 17          | 317 - 817   | 95  |  |
| 18          | 318 - 818   | 234   |  |
| 19          | 319 – 819   | 619   |  |
| 20          | 320 - 820   | 2,379                                       |  |
| 21          | 321 – 821   | 12,099                                      |  |
| 22          | 322 - 822   | 32,399                                      |  |
| 23          | 323 – 823   | All   |  |
| 24          | 324 – 824   | All   |  |

<sup>\*</sup> Sampling rate = last five-digit /100,000. A '0' Cut-off means no returns is selected by TTIN and only the CWHS returns are included.

The 1999 SOCA cross-sectional sample was a subsample selected from the 1999 individual return sample using the same stratum boundaries with smaller sampling rates in some strata.

The 1999 SOCA panel sample was a subsample selected from the 1999 SOCA cross-sectional sample<sup>2</sup>. However, the strata were defined differently in that the return type was not used and only income code was used. For example, strata 003, 103, 203, 303, 403, 503, 603, 703 and 803 are pooled into one stratum that have the same income code of '03'. Further, strata with income codes '01' and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The 1999 panel sample was designed to represent all tax year 1999 returns, including late returns, while the 1999 individual return sample and 1999 SOCA cross-sectional sample were designed to represent all returns filed in calendar year 2000. Therefore, the 1999 panel sample were drawn from the 1999 SOCA cross-sectional sample and supplemented with the 2000 and 2001 individual return samples in order to include returns that were filed up to two years late.

'24' were broken into two each by the income amount, as shown in Table 5. The panel sample includes all the returns that were randomly selected using the pseudo-random number and additional returns containing any of five CWHS ending digits. The approximate sampling rates and TTIN cut-offs are also given in Table 5.

|                     | <b>Table 5 - 1999 SOCA</b> | Table 5 - 1999 SOCA Panel Sample Design |  |  |  |
|---------------------|----------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| CA Panel Stratum ID | Income Code                | Specified Sampling Rate (%)             |  |  |  |

| SOCA Panel Stratum ID Income Code           |  | Specified<br>Sampling Rate (%)* | Cut-off of the Last Five<br>Digits of TTIN |
|---|--|---------------------------------|--|
| 0   | 01 (income>=20,000,000)                        | 100.00                          | ALL  |
| 1   | 01 (income<20,000,000)                         | 48.47                           | 48,444                                     |
| 2   | 02   | 22.05                           | 22,011                                     |
| 3   | 03   | 4.20                            | 4,152                                      |
| 4   | 04   | 1.42                            | 1,371                                      |
| 5   | 05   | 0.58                            | 530  |
| 6   | 06   | 0.12                            | 70   |
| 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18 | 07, 08, 09, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18 | 0.05                            | 0  |
| 19 19                                       |  | 0.18                            | 130  |
| 20 20                                       |  | 0.59                            | 540  |
| 21  | 21   | 1.72                            | 1,671                                      |
| 22  | 22 22  |                                 | 5,683                                      |
| 23  | 23   | 18.88                           | 18,839                                     |
| 24  | 24 (income<20,000,000)                         | 57.62                           | 57,599                                     |
| 25  | 24 (income>=20,000,000)                        | 100.00                          | ALL  |

<sup>\*</sup>including CWHS returns

## **Designing the 2007 Cross-Sectional SOCA Sample**

The 2007 SOCA cross-sectional sample is a subsample of the individual return sample and should include the 2007 SOCA panel sample, which will serve as the base-year panel sample for coming years. It was decided that the 2007 panel sample will have the same stratum boundaries as the 1999 SOCA panel design and include at least the returns selected using the criteria of the 1999 SOCA panel design, defined in Table 5. In other words, it should start with at least the returns satisfying the same selection criteria in Table 5 and add more returns to some strata, as appropriate. This is because we want to have a 2007 SOCA panel as least as large as the 1999 SOCA panel in each stratum.

In designing the 2007 SOCA cross-sectional sample, we needed to determine the stratum boundaries and sample size allocation across strata. In terms of stratum boundaries, we employed the same boundaries as for the 1999 SOCA panel sample, instead of using the same stratum boundaries of the individual return sample, for two reasons: (1) the return type (Table 1) is not considered to be related to the SOCA analysis; and (2) it is consistent with the new panel sample design. In terms of sample size allocation, we made use of the available information from Tax Year 2005 data to balance the variance and the processing cost. The details are given below.

To determine the final sample size allocation of the 2007 SOCA cross-sectional sample, we used the variance information from the most recent available 2005 individual return sample data and processing cost information from the most recent panel sample data of Tax Year 2005<sup>3</sup>. Although the SOCA file is used to mainly estimate the totals of some variables by asset type, it is impossible to have a sample that is optimum for each of the 22 asset types. So our design target was based on the precision levels of estimates for the totals of the three key variables: Sales Price (E21550), Net Short Term Gain/Loss (E22250), and Net Long Term Gain/Loss (E23250). We first calculated the optimum sample size allocation using Neyman allocation (Cochran, 1977), then we adjusted the sample sizes for some constraints on the lower and upper bound. Therefore, the final stratum sample sizes were not strictly obtained by the Neyman optimum allocation. Instead, Neyman allocation was used as a starting step of the sample size allocation process. For a given sample size n, the sample size proportion for stratum h by Neyman Optimum Allocation is:

$$p_h = \frac{n_h}{n} = \frac{N_h S_h / \sqrt{c_h}}{\sum_h N_h S_h / \sqrt{c_h}}, \tag{1}$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The 1999 SOCA panel sample was followed in each tax year. The most recent year was 2005.

where  $N_h$ ,  $S_h$  and  $c_h$  are the population size, standard deviation, and cost per return for stratum h; and  $n_h/n$  is the sample size allocations across strata. The population size  $N_h$  is known.

To use the Neyman allocation equation (1), we need the information of  $S_h$  and  $c_h$ .  $c_h$  is the average cost for SOI to edit each return because the individual return sample consists of both SOCA returns and non-SOCA returns. For our design purpose, the processing cost of non-SOCA returns was treated as zero and the processing cost per SOCA return was from the 2005 panel sample. The average cost per return  $c_h$  was obtained by multiplying the processing cost per SOCA return that was obtained from the 2005 panel sample and the percentage of SOCA returns that was calculated from the 2005 individual return sample. The reason that processing cost per SOCA return was obtained from the 2005 panel data and not from the individual return sample was that returns are processed at the tax form line level for the individual return sample, while returns are processed at the transaction level for the SOCA cross-sectional sample<sup>4</sup>. For example, if a taxpayer had 100 different short-term stock transactions, SOI would only edit the total sales and total net income/loss from the combination of these transactions for the individual file. However, for SOCA each sale would be processed separately. The resulting cost information is given in Table 6.

**Table 6 - Processing Cost Per Return by Stratum** 

| Table 6 - Processing Cost Per Return by Stratum |                    |                               |                |                     |                                       |  |  |
|---|--------------------|-------------------------------|----------------|---------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|
| Stratum   | 2005<br>Population | 2005 Individual Return Sample |                | Cost Per<br>SOCA    | Average Cost Per<br>Individual Return |  |  |
| h   | Size $N_h$         | Sample Size                   | % SOCA returns | return<br>(Minutes) | (Minutes) $c_h$                       |  |  |
| 0   | 850                | 850                           | 82.6%          | 86.3                | 71.299                                |  |  |
| 1   | 1,019              | 1,019                         | 95.2%          | 86.3                | 82.180                                |  |  |
| 2   | 2,865              | 2,865                         | 92.6%          | 81.9                | 75.903                                |  |  |
| 3   | 11,583             | 3,921                         | 92.9%          | 79.9                | 74.283                                |  |  |
| 4   | 24,668             | 4,051                         | 91.1%          | 54.5                | 49.618                                |  |  |
| 5   | 62,671             | 2,322                         | 89.8%          | 46.1                | 41.369                                |  |  |
| 6   | 145,074            | 1,684                         | 87.5%          | 26.6                | 23.270                                |  |  |
| 7   | 304,998            | 1,700                         | 81.5%          | 24.9                | 20.308                                |  |  |
| 8   | 426,292            | 1,362                         | 73.0%          | 17.9                | 13.079                                |  |  |
| 9   | 1,394,836          | 2,700                         | 59.2%          | 25.3                | 14.979                                |  |  |
| 10  | 30,444,834         | 30,396                        | 0.1%           | 2.9                 | 0.003                                 |  |  |
| 11  | 28,944,931         | 28,868                        | 5.7%           | 1.9                 | 0.106                                 |  |  |
| 12  | 10,232,344         | 15,703                        | 19.7%          | 3.3                 | 0.649                                 |  |  |
| 13  | 23,743,039         | 23,823                        | 11.3%          | 2.6                 | 0.296                                 |  |  |
| 14  | 10,255,177         | 16,198                        | 26.2%          | 2.7                 | 0.704                                 |  |  |
| 15  | 13,842,711         | 13,790                        | 27.0%          | 3.8                 | 1.032                                 |  |  |
| 16  | 6,346,609          | 9,607                         | 42.7%          | 3.6                 | 1.515                                 |  |  |
| 17  | 1,746,471          | 5,880                         | 53.7%          | 3.5                 | 1.873                                 |  |  |
| 18  | 4,089,699          | 16,085                        | 61.1%          | 22.9                | 13.976                                |  |  |
| 19  | 1,628,792          | 15,441                        | 73.9%          | 21.3                | 15.736                                |  |  |
| 20  | 551,000            | 15,084                        | 83.7%          | 27.8                | 23.296                                |  |  |
| 21  | 185,095            | 23,086                        | 90.8%          | 47.9                | 43.454                                |  |  |
| 22  | 78,029             | 25,543                        | 94.7%          | 72.0                | 68.226                                |  |  |
| 23  | 19,107             | 19,107                        | 97.2%          | 105.7               | 102.666                               |  |  |
| 24  | 7,572              | 7,572                         | 98.2%          | 120.8               | 118.611                               |  |  |
| 25  | 4,180              | 4,180                         | 98.2%          | 120.8               | 118.648                               |  |  |

Because of the relatively very low cost for returns in strata 10 - 17, it was decided to include all the sampled individual returns in the SOCA cross-sectional sample. Strata 0, 1, 24 and 25 are certainty strata and all their returns are taken in the SOCA cross-sectional

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> The last SOCA cross-sectional sample was in 1999. The most recent cost estimates at the transaction level is from the 2005 panel sample. Therefore, the cost information from the 2005 panel sample was used.

sample as well. For the rest of the strata, the standard deviation  $S_h$  was calculated. In calculating  $S_h$ , some returns are excluded so that all the returns used in the standard deviation calculation have the same weights. These *excluded returns* are from sample codes 101-124 and 201-224 and would not have been selected if using the selection criteria of other sample codes (see Table 4). For example, stratum 3 consists of returns from sample codes 003, 103, 203, 303-803 and CWHS returns. All returns from sample codes 103 and 103 were selected and only returns with a TTIN smaller than 103, 103

Then, we calculated the sample size allocation percentages across strata using Neyman allocation equation (1) for each of the three key variables, denoted as  $p_{h1}$ ,  $p_{h2}$  and  $p_{h3}$  for each stratum h; and then take the average of the three. That is, for a given sample size n, the stratum sample size is  $n_h = n(p_{h1} + p_{h2} + p_{h3})/3$ . The sample size  $n_h$  was further adjusted by lower end  $L_h$  and upper end  $U_h$ , i.e.,  $L_h \le n_h \le U_h$  for all h. The lower end  $L_h$  was decided by the selection criteria of 1999 panel sample (Table 5), to ensure the new panel sample was a subsample of the 2007 SOCA cross-sectional sample and, thus, satisfy at least the selection criteria of the 1999 panel. The upper end  $U_h$  was the stratum sample size of the individual return sample after removing the excluded returns because the SOCA cross sectional sample will be selected from the individual return sample. Therefore, if the calculated  $n_h$  was smaller than  $L_h$ , it was forced to be equal to  $L_h$ ; if the calculated  $n_h$  was larger than  $U_h$ , it was reduced to be the same as  $U_h$ .

Standard Deviation  $S_h$ Average Sample Sample **Population** Net **Cost Per** Size Size Net Sales **Size** Return Low End **High End Short-Term** Long-Term Stratum **Price**  $N_h$ Gain or Loss Gain or Loss  $C_h$  $L_h$  $U_h$ (E21550)(E22250)(E23250)2 2,865 46,422,576 2,910,246 10,828,250 75.903 613 2,865 3 1,271,933 496 11,583 23,511,291 1,700,676 74.283 3,808 4 24,668 16,613,886 603,837 720,621 49.618 357 3,890 5 360,997 62,671 22,123,386 314,883 41.369 372 2,038 6 145,074 5,208,675 156,964 181,711 23.270 244 1,395 7 304,998 2,612,603 89,332 74,011 20.308 306 1,577 8 426,292 2,191,341 33,792 48,225 13.079 444 1,333 9 1,394,836 1,067,603 9,776 18,056 14.979 1,441 2,676 4.089.699 761,388 18 16,084 46,303 13.976 3,946 13,581 19 1,628,792 2,029,207 30,672 105,765 15.736 3,743 11,683 20 551,000 3,221,822 68,763 243,875 23.296 3,544 13,670 185,095 6,217,282 565,720 43.454 3,324 22,558 21 146,646 22 78,029 11,987,771 329,655 1,414,260 68.226 4,632 25,325 19,107 16,748,060 784,437 4,461,375 3,600 19,107 23 102.666

Table 7 - Data Summary for Sample Size Allocation Summary

After evaluating some options of sample size and processing cost, the final choice is summarized in Table 8. Based on the 2005 population, the projected cost and Coefficient of Variation (CV) for the three key variables are given in Table 9. Here, the extra cost is the total cost, excluding the cost for returns that also fall in the 1999 panel sample. Also note that CVs here are for the estimates of the overall totals. However, the SOCA estimates are also broken by asset type, which can result in much higher CVs for some asset

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> The *excluded returns* are from sample codes 101–124 and 201-224 and would not have been selected if using the selection criteria of other sample codes.

types. Finally, Table 10 gives the cost estimates by Electronic Filing Status and Service Center, which was used for budget allocation purpose.

**Table 8 - Selection Criteria of 2007 SOCA Cross-Sectional Sample** 

| Stratum | Selection Criteria                 |        | Overall Sampling<br>Proportion (Random | Based on 2005 Population** |                   |
|---------|------------------------------------|--------|--|----------------------------|-------------------|
| Stratum | Cut-off of random selection (TTIN) | CWHSI* | selection and CWHSI) (%)               | Sample<br>Size             | # SOCA<br>Returns |
| 0       | 99999                              | 1, 2   | 100.00                                 | 850                        | 702               |
| 1       | 99999                              | 1, 2   | 100.00                                 | 1019                       | 970               |
| 2       | 74808                              | 1, 2   | 74.83                                  | 2144                       | 1,995             |
| 3       | 23337                              | 1, 2   | 23.41                                  | 2712                       | 2,513             |
| 4       | 14459                              | 1, 2   | 14.55                                  | 3588                       | 3,258             |
| 5       | 3155                               | 1, 2   | 3.25                                   | 2038                       | 1,805             |
| 6       | 862                                | 1, 2   | 0.96                                   | 1395                       | 1,188             |
| 7       | 417                                | 1, 2   | 0.52                                   | 1577                       | 1,268             |
| 8       | 213                                | 1, 2   | 0.31                                   | 1333                       | 967               |
| 9       | 92                                 | 1, 2   | 0.19                                   | 2676                       | 1,578             |
| 10      | 0                                  | 1, 2   | 0.10                                   | 30396                      | 34                |
| 11      | 0                                  | 1, 2   | 0.10                                   | 28832                      | 1,628             |
| 12      | 53                                 | 1, 2   | 0.15                                   | 15660                      | 3,050             |
| 13      | 0                                  | 1, 2   | 0.10                                   | 23811                      | 2,685             |
| 14      | 58                                 | 1, 2   | 0.16                                   | 16151                      | 4,196             |
| 15      | 0                                  | 1, 2   | 0.10                                   | 13774                      | 3,713             |
| 16      | 51                                 | 1, 2   | 0.15                                   | 9560                       | 4,065             |
| 17      | 100                                | 1, 2   | 0.20                                   | 3490                       | 1,682             |
| 18      | 232                                | 1, 2   | 0.33                                   | 13581                      | 8,212             |
| 19      | 618                                | 1, 2   | 0.72                                   | 11683                      | 8,625             |
| 20      | 2383                               | 1, 2   | 2.48                                   | 13670                      | 11,456            |
| 21      | 5970                               | 1, 2   | 6.06                                   | 11224                      | 10,187            |
| 22      | 10887                              | 1, 2   | 10.98                                  | 8565                       | 8,077             |
| 23      | 22411                              | 1, 2   | 22.49                                  | 4297                       | 4,183             |
| 24      | 99999                              | 1, 2   | 100.00                                 | 7572                       | 7,432             |
| 25      | 99999                              | 1, 2   | 100.00                                 | 4180                       | 4,104             |

<sup>\*</sup> CWHSI is the indicator for CWHS status. A return with a CWHSI value of 1 and 2 falls in the 10 CWHS endings.

Table 9 - The Projected Cost and CV from the 2007 SOCA Cross-Sectional Sample

|                            |                       |                       | CV                               |        |   |
|----------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------|--------|---|
| Sample Size<br>(# returns) | Total Cost<br>(years) | Extra Cost<br>(years) | Sales Price Gain or Loss Gain or |        | Net Long-Term<br>Gain or Loss<br>(E23250) |
| 235,778                    | 36.35                 | 28.85                 | 4.72%                            | -1.76% | 0.89%                                     |

<sup>\*\*</sup> The sample size and the number of SOCA returns based on 2007 population are expected to be larger.

Table 10 - Cost Estimate by Electronic Filing Status and Service Center for the 2007 SOCA Cross-Sectional Sample (Projection Based on 2005 Population)

| Electronic<br>Filing            | Service Center  | Number of<br>Returns                      | Number of SOCA<br>Returns             | Total cost<br>(Years)                | Extra cost<br>(Years)                |
|---------------------------------|---|---|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| No                              | Atlanta (7)   | 24,133                                    | 13,573                                | 5.54                                 | 4.46                                 |
| No                              | Andover (8)   | 19,380                                    | 11,267                                | 5.18                                 | 3.83                                 |
| No                              | Kansas City (9)   | 24,404                                    | 12,057                                | 4.61                                 | 3.58                                 |
| No                              | Cincinnati (17)   | 29  | 29                                    | 0.03                                 | 0.01                                 |
| No                              | Austin (18)   | 21,151                                    | 10,988                                | 4.31                                 | 3.51                                 |
| No                              | Philadelphia (28)   | 11,929                                    | 5,720                                 | 2.14                                 | 1.69                                 |
| No                              | Fresno (89)   | 31,125                                    | 16,787                                | 7.31                                 | 5.70                                 |
| Sı                              | ıbtotal   | 132,151                                   | 70,421                                | 29.12                                | 22.77                                |
| Yes<br>Yes<br>Yes<br>Yes<br>Yes | Andover (8) Kansas City (9) Cincinnati (17) Austin (18) Philadelphia (28) | 24,067<br>19,183<br>1<br>19,740<br>16,054 | 7,445<br>5,256<br>1<br>4,275<br>3,149 | 1.96<br>1.12<br>0.00<br>0.87<br>0.66 | 1.65<br>0.93<br>0.00<br>0.73<br>0.57 |
| Yes                             | Fresno (89)   | 24,582                                    | 9,026                                 | 2.62                                 | 2.20                                 |
| Sı                              | ıbtotal   | 103,627                                   | 29,152                                | 7.23                                 | 6.07                                 |

## References

Cochran, W G (1977), Sampling Techniques, Wiley,

Testa, V. and Scali, J (2005), "Description of the Sample," *Statistics of Income – 2005, Individual Income Tax Returns, Internal Revenue Service, Washington, DC.* 

Weber, M. (2001), "The Statistics of Income 1979-2002 Continuous Work History Sample Individual Income Tax Return Panel," *Proceedings of the Survey Methodology Section, American Statistical Association, 2001.*