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## 1. Background

For most household surveys conducted over the telephone, the prime calling hours are evenings and weekends, both for making contact and for completing interviews (e.g., Weeks et al, 1987). Telephone survey organizations thus typically staff more heavily in the evening and weekend hours for household surveys, which affects the composition of the interviewing force. Telephone interviewers often have other jobs during the day, or are only interested in part-time work.

One reason for the greater efficiency of evening and weekend calls is that many potential respondents are at work during the day. One would expect, then, that telephone surveys of businesses would most efficiently be conducted during weekdays. Indeed, many businesses are only open and reachable by telephone during "business hours," such as 9:00 a.m. to $5: 00 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$. or some similar period. The survey methods literature has not gone much beyond this general view of call scheduling for business, or establishment, surveys (Weeks, 1988).

However, not all businesses may be approachable at the same times. In particular, small businesses may have different patterns of optimal call times from large businesses. Retail establishments, for example, may have different patterns from other kinds of businesses. Further, all times during "business hours" may not be equally appropriate for making survey contacts or completing interviews. Lunch hours may be less productive for survey calls, for example. If such differences exist, survey costs could be reduced and data quality increased by tailoring initial call schedules for establishment surveys.

## 2. The Robert Wood Johnson Foundation Employer Survey

Westat, Inc., conducted a survey of employers for the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation (RWJF) in 1993, with telephone interviews of private employers asking about health benefits offered to employees. The survey sample comprised business establishments in ten states -- Colorado, Vermont, New York, Oregon, Florida, New Mexico, Washington (State), Oklahoma,

North Dakota, and Minnesota. The sample in eight of the states was selected from Dun and Bradstreet's "Dun's Market Identifiers" (DMI) file. In Oregon and Minnesota, the states supplied a sample from the ES202, or State Unemployment Insurance files. About 500 interviews were completed in each of four establishment size cells in each state. The establishment size cells were 2-4 employees (including the owner), 5-9 employees, 10-24 employees, and 25 employees or more. Thus, the sample included significant representation of small businesses. Initial contacts were attempted with up to 5,000 establishments in each state because of relatively high-out-of-scope rates among small businesses.

Each contact began with verification of the establishment, and for verified establishments (same name and location as the sample frame) continued with identifying a suitable respondent. All initial calls were randomly scheduled during local business hours (8:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m.). The distribution of initial calls to different days and times of day depended upon the number of interviewers available at any given time and the number of callbacks and appointments in the scheduler queues. Interviewer schedules were not randomized, but many interviewers worked close to 40hour weeks. Well over 100 interviewers worked on the survey altogether.

## 3. Analysis Methods

The analysis will focus on first call results for all cases attempted. Across the ten states, some 40,000 initial calls were made. An "initial call" means that an interviewer attempted to reach a sampled establishment at a telephone number provided from the sample frame or from Directory Assistance. Some number of additional sample establishments were never called because telephone numbers were never found; these establishments are not included in this paper.

Table 3-1 shows the distribution of initial call results. "No contact" calls, including "ring no answer," busy, and answering machines, comprised just over one-quarter of all initial call attempts. Contacts of one kind or another comprised most of the rest of the calls. The "other" results are either cases that required tracing or problems of some sort. These cases, comprising just 1.8 percent of all initial calls, cannot be defined as contacts or non-contatcs, and so will be
excluded from the analysis. Among contacts, interviews were completed with 8.8 percent of the cases, 5.5 percent refused, 7.4 percent were ineligible, and just over half of all initial calls resulted in an appointment or other callback result.

| Table 3-1. Results of Initial Calls |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  | N | Percent |
| No Contact | 9811 | 25.6 |
| Callback | 19447 | 50.7 |
| Refusal | 2126 | 5.5 |
| Completed Interview | 3386 | 8.8 |
| Ineligible | 2839 | 7.4 |
| Other | 716 | 1.8 |
| TOTAL | 38325 | 100 |

This paper will examine three primary statistics: (1) the percentage of all calls (excluding the "others" in Table 3-1) that resulted in contacts (callbacks, refusals, completed interview, and ineligible); (2) the percentage of contacts (as just defined) that were refusals; and (3) the percentage of contacts that were completed interviews. The objectives of a scheduling algorithm would be to maximize the contact percentage and (usually) the percentage of completed interviews, and to minimize the percentage of refusals. We will examine variations in call results by time of day and by day of week. In addition, we will explore how differences in establishment size and type of business affect the distributions by time. These two characteristics are typically available on establishment sample frames, and thus could be used in an a priori scheduling algorithm.

## 4. Results

Results of initial call attempts are presented in Tables 4-1 through 4-9. The first three tables cross day of week and time of day, while the remainder cross time of day with an establishment characteristic.

Table 4-1 shows the percentage of calls that resulted in contacts. 1 Overall, 74.1 percent of call results were in the group we have labeled "contacts."

[^0]The column totals show that there was little variation by day of the week, with Monday having the lowest contact rate ( 72.8 percent) and Tuesday the highest ( 75.2 percent). The row totals also show little variation in the 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. range, with the hour between 2:00 and 3:00 p.m. having the highest contact rate ( 77.3 percent). However, before 9:00 a.m. and after 5:00 p.m., the contact rate drops off considerably, to 67.7 percent and 63.4 percent, respectively. The contact rates by hour for each day of the week generally follows the pattern of total column.

As shown in Table 4-2, refusals represented 7.7 percent of contacts overall. As with contact rates, there was little variation by day of the week. However, the rates by time of day of the contact show a clear and strong trend, with the proportion of refusals steadily diminishing during the day, starting at over 10 percent before 9:00 a.m., and dropping to just over 5 percent from 4:00 p.m. on. A similar pattern appears for each weekday, with Monday having the most dramatic decline in refusal rate, from 13.8 percent before 9:00 a.m. to 4.1 percent after 5:00 p.m.

In Table 4-3, we do not see a corresponding increase in completed interviews as a percentage of contacts. For the week as a whole, the rate of completed interviews during the day stays fairly constant until late afternoon. The overall rate was 12.2 percent, with dips between noon and 1:00 p.m. (11.2 percent), between 4:00 and 5:00 p.m. (11.3 percent), and between 5:00 and 6:00 p.m. (7.8 percent). The rates of completed interviews were significanty lower on Thursdays ( 10.8 percent) and Fridays (10.9 percent), and significantly higher on Tuesdays (14.0 percent) than overall.

The remaining presentation of results will focus on calls in different times of day by establishment size and business type. Variations across these dimensions by day of week are unremarkable.

Tables 4-4, 4-5, and 4-6 show results by time of day and establishment size. The overall pattern for contact from Table 4-1 generally holds across establishment size strata (Table 4-4), with calls before 9:00 a.m. and after 5:00 p.m. resulting in fewer contacts. The early morning calls show more of a drop-off for small establishments than for large. The overall pattern of decreasing proportions of refusals during the day holds for small establishments (Table 45), but not so consistently for establishments with more than 100 employees. In contrast, the overall tendency for completion rates, that they are lower after 5:00 p.m., holds more for large establishments than for small ones (Table 4-6). For the largest establishments,
there is also a slump in completion rate during the middle of the day, between noon and 2:00 p.m.

Tables 4-7, 4-8, and 4-9 present results of calls by time of day and type of business. Patterns of contact rates vary somewhat across business types (Table 4-7). In particular, retail establishments are less likely to be contacted before 10:00 a.m., reach a peak after noon, and continue strong right through the 5:005:59 p.m. hour. Contact rates drop off after 5:00 p.m. drop off most dramatically for finance establishments, but are also significantly lower for construction, manufacturing, services, and wholesale. Only construction has a contact rate before 9:00 a.m. as high as its overall rate, but only finance and retail have a significantly lower contact rate for that hour. Retail and wholesale establishments demonstrate the clearest reflection of the overall pattern for refusal rates declining throughout the day (Table 4-8), although the 8:00-8:59 hour is significantly higher in refusal rate for construction and manufacturing as well. Finally, in Table 4-9, we see that completion rates drop off markedly after 5:00 p.m. for all business types except construction and retail, with significant drop-offs beginning at 3:00 p.m. for transportation establishments and at 4:00 p.m. for finance.

## 5. Discussion

The analysis of effectiveness of initial calls in an establishment survey at different times of the day and days of the week has shown some clear differences -- differences that probably warrant consideration of customized scheduling when planning an establishment survey. Some caution should be applied in generalizing the results presented here. First, refusal and completion rates, and the patterns of when these results occur, may be different for other surveys covering different subject areas. These rates may also differ for shorter or longer surveys. Contact rates, on the other hand, should not be affected by these factors. Second, since the survey did not randomly schedule interviewers to shifts, we cannot say definitively that the differences seen in our analysis are not the result, at least in part, of interviewer differences. However, the large number of interviewers and the fact that many worked nearly full-time leads us to believe that the effects seen are due to more than interviewer differences. Further, differences such as those between establishment size strata and business type should not be affected by any artifact of interviewer scheduling, since the case served to any interviewer at a given time was randomly determined.

Overall, the hours of 8:00-8:59 a.m. and 5:00$5: 59 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$. were not as productive as the hours between 9:00 a.m. and 4:49 p.m. Contact rates and completion rates were generally lower at these times. An important exception to these patterns was for retail establishments, which showed lower contact rates before 10:00 a.m., but for whom contact rates then continued high until 6:00 p.m. Refusal rates overall became lower as the hour became later. This pattern held particularly strongly for small establishments and for those in retail and wholesale trade. For finance and transportation establishments, completion rates dropped earlier than 5:00 p.m.

There were few interesting differences by the day of the week. Thursday and Friday were the worst days for completion rates, while Tuesday showed the highest contact and completion rates. However, the differences across weekdays was not large, even when significant.

A survey organization may have flexibility in scheduling interviewers for different shifts, and in scheduling initial calls according to sample characteristics. Most business sample frames provide number of employees and type of business, so these characteristics could be used in planning initial call schedules. From the results of this study, it appears that initial calls generally should not be started before 9:00 a.m. (time local to the sampled establishment), and for most types of establishments should end by 5:00 p.m. local time, except for retail businesses (which can go later) and perhaps finance and transportation (which should end earlier). To the extent possible, initial calls to small establishments and those in retail and wholesale trade should be made in the early afternoon or later. These kinds of scheduling decisions should increase the efficiency of a telephone survey operation, and may improve response rates as well.

## References

Weeks, M.F., Kulka, R.A., and Pierson, S.A. (1987). "Optimal Call Scheduling for a Telephone Survey," Public Opinion Quareterly, 51:4, pp. 540-549.

Weeks, M.F. (1988). "Call Scheduling with CATI: Current Capabilities and Methods," in R.M. Groves, et al, eds., Telephone Survey Methodology, John Wiley and Sons, New York.

Table 4-1. Percentage of inillal calls resuliing in conlact, by day of woek and lime of day

| Day of week | Monday |  |  | Tuesdory |  |  | Wechoeday |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Thuriday } \\ & \text { Contact } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Fiday |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trne of call | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sontac† } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | N |  |  | N |  |  | N | $\begin{array}{r} \text { intac } 1 \\ \hline \\ \hline \end{array}$ | SE | $N$ | * | SE | N | \% | SE | N |
| 8:00-8:59 | 64, ${ }^{2}$ | 2.57 | 345 | 66.3 | 1.75 | 725 | 70.5 | 1.83 | 621 | 89.3 | 1.86 | 613 | 60.0 | 2.28 | 432 | 87.7 | 0.89 | 2730 |
| 9:00-9:59 | 709 | 1.82 | 635 | 72.7 | 1.27 | 1239 | 73.9 | 1.35 | 1058 | 73.6 | 1.28 | 1185 | 74.0 | 1.22 | 1287 | 73.1 | 0.60 | 5404 |
| 10:00-10:59 | 69, | 1.92 | 572 | 77.6 | 1.29 | 1042 | 74.1 | 1.54 | 804 | 74.8 | 1.32 | 1076 | 76.1 | 1.41 | 913 | 75.0 | 0.65 | 4407 |
| 11:00-11:59 | 71.6 | 1.62 | 774 | 77.6 | 1.20 | 1212 | 76.5 | 1.40 | 918 | 73.9 | 1.23 | 1284 | 75,9 | 1.14 | 1409 | 75.3 | 0.58 | 5597 |
| 12:00-12:59 | 75.4 | 1,48 | 842 | 75.1 | 1.25 | 1188 | 74.5 | 1.49 | 855 | 312 | 1.35 | 1130 | 75.5 | 1.25 | 1179 | 74.3 | 0.61 | 5194 |
| 1:00-1:5 | 74.7 | 1.41 | 947 | 78.4 | 1.34 | 944 | 73.6 | 1.58 | 774 | 75.4 | 1.29 | 1119 | 75.5 | 1.50 | 824 | 75.6 | 0.63 | 608 |
| 2:00-2:59 | 76.8 | 1.46 | 833 | 7933 | 1.46 | 772 | 78.5 | 1.72 | 571 | 72\% | 1.57 | 703 | 75.1 | 1.52 | 810 | 71.3 | 0.69 | 3689 |
| 3:00-3:59 | 74.3 | 1.92 | 517 | 73.2 | 1.95 | 515 | 75.1 | 2.20 | 386 | 78.2 | 1.99 | 431 | 74.6 | 1.84 | 560 | 75.0 | 0.88 | 2409 |
| 4:00-4:59 | 72.8 | 2.06 | 467 | 771 | 2.16 | 372 | 75.8 | 2.48 | 298 | 76.9 | 2.13 | 390 | 72.5 | 1.92 | 539 | 74.8 | 0.95 | 2066 |
| 5:00-5:59 | 69.7 | 2.94 | 244 | 65.61 | 3.2 | 218 | \$5 2 | 4.12 | 142 | 57.0 | 2.97 | 276 | 4,3 | 3.16 | 230 | 63.4 | 1.45 | 1110 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tolad | 728 | 0.57 | 6176 | 75.2] | 0.48 | 8227 | 74.3 | 0.55 | 6427 | 73.6 | 0.49 | 8207 | 74.3 | 0.48 | 8183 | 74.1 | 0.23 | 37220 |

Table 4-2. Percentage of initial call contacts resulting in refuscis, by day of wook and Ime of day

| Day of week | Monday |  |  | 7uesday |  |  | Wedneeday |  |  | Thursidy |  |  | Refusal Frdcay |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Refusal |  |  | Refusal |  |  |  |  |  | Refusal |  |  |  |  |  | Refusal |  |  |
| Time of call | \% | SE | N | \% | SE | N | \% | SE | N | \% | SE | N | \% | SE | N | \% | SE |  |
| 8:00-8:59 | 13 | 2.31 | 224 | 10.4 | 1.39 | 481 | 8.7 | 1.34 | 438 | 9.4 | 1.42 | 425 | 4.2 | 1.87 | 285 | 10.3 | 0.71 | 1853 |
| 9:00-9:59 | 10.1 | 1.43 | 445 | 8.3 | 0.92 | 901 | 9.3 | 1.04 | 782 | 8.9 | 0.97 | 872 | 8.8 | 0.92 | 952 | 9.0 | 0.45 | 3952 |
| 10:00-10:59 | 10.3 | 1.52 | 400 | 7.2 | 0.91 | 809 | 9.4 | 1.20 | 596 | 8.8 | 1.00 | 805 | 7.9 | 1.02 | 695 | 8.5 | 0.49 | 3305 |
| 11:00-11:59 | 103 | 1.29 | 554 | 7.5 | 0.86 | 941 | 6.7 | 0.94 | 702 | 9.3 | 0.94 | 949 | 6.7 | 0.77 | 1009 | 7.9 | 0.42 | 4215 |
| 12:00-12:59 | 5.8 | 0.93 | 635 | 7.6 | 0.89 | 892 | 7.1 | 1.02 | 637 | 6.8 | 0.89 | 805 | 8.1 | 0.91 | 890 | 7.2 | 0.42 | 3859 |
| 1:00-1:59 | 6.5 | 0.93 | 707 | 6.9 | 0.93 | 740 | 6.3 | 1.02 | 570 | 9.2 | 1.00 | 844 | 6.8 | 1.01 | 622 | 7.3 | 0.44 | 3483 |
| 2:00-2:59 | 7.3 | 1.03 | 640 | 7.8 | 1.09 | 612 | 6.3 | 1.14 | 448 | 51 | 0.95 | 545 | 7.2 | 1.05 | 608 | 8.8 | 0.47 | 2853 |
| 3:00-3:59 | 7.8 | 1.37 | 384 | 6.9 | 1.31 | 377 | 5.9 | 1.38 | 290 | 53 | 1.22 | 337 | 6.0 | 1.16 | 418 | 8.4 | 0.58 | 1806 |
| 4:00-4:59 | 3.3 | 1.21 | 340 | 0.6 | 1.46 | 289 | 4.9 | 1.43 | 226 | 5.0 | 1.26 | 300 | 4.6 | 1.06 | 391 | 5.2 | 0.57 | 1546 |
| 5:00-5:50 | 4 | 1.52 | 170 | 5.6 | 1.92 | 143 | 4.8 | 2.32 | 84 | 6.3 | 1.93 | 159 | 5.4 | 1.86 | 148 | 5.3 | 0.84 | 704 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Totad | 8.0 | 0.40 | 4499 | 7.7 | 0.34 | 0185 | 7.4 | 0.38 | 4773 | 8.0 | 0.35 | 6041 | 7.4 | 0.34 | 6078 | 7.7 | 0.16 | 27570 |

Table 4-3. Percentage of initial ceal contacts resuiting in complefed inferviews, by day of week and wrne of day


Source: 1993 Robert Wood Johnson Foundation Employer Survey
Standard Errors calculated as $\left(p^{*}(1-\mathrm{p}) / \mathrm{N}\right)^{\wedge} .5$
Shaded boxes hold values 2 or more standard errors from overall percentage of contacts (approximately a 95 percent confldence interval)

Table 4-4. Percentage of initial calls rasutting in contact, by sze of establishment and time of day

| \# employees | 2105 |  |  | 6109 |  |  | 10 to 24 |  |  | 25 to 99 |  |  | Contact 100249 |  |  | Contact 250909 |  |  | 1000+ |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Itre of call | \% | SE | N | \% | SE | N | \% | SE | N | \% | SE | N | \% | SE | N | \% | SE | N | \% | SE | N | \% | SE | N |
| 8:00-8:59 | 57.3 | 1.88 | 868 | 65.2 | 1.74 | 749 | 753 | 1.76 | 603 | 82.5 | 2.91 | 171 | 75.9 | 3.55 | 145 | 82.8 | 2.90 | 169 | 74.2 | 7.80 | 31 | 67.7 | 0.89 | 2736 |
| 9:00-9.59 | 60.2 | 1.18 | 1707 | 73.8 | 1.22 | 1303 | 81.1 | 1.14 | 1184 | 84.1 | 1.06 | 484 | 83.7 | 2.13 | 300 | 82.5 | 2.08 | 332 | 75.5 | 4.43 | 94 | 73.1 | 0.60 | 5404 |
| 10:00-10:59 | 61.4 | 1.25 | 1509 | 76.4 | 1.33 | 1018 | 85.7 | 1.15 | 928 | 88.0 | 1.84 | 357 | 86.3 | 2.15 | 256 | 83.8 | 2.22 | 277 | 72.6 | 5.67 | 62 | 75.0 | 0.6 | 4407 |
| 11:00-11:59 | 61.8 | 1.14 | 1811 | 77.9 | 1.12 | 1384 | 83.2 | 1.04 | 1297 | 86.0 | 1.59 | 473 | 87.5 | 2.04 | 263 | 82.2 | 2.26 | 286 | 79.5 | 4.43 | 83 | 75.3 | 0.58 | 5597 |
| 12:00-12:59 | 63.5 | 1.16 | 1717 | 74.5 | 1.25 | 1207 | 81.3 | 1.11 | 1236 | 85.0 | 1.65 | 467 | 85.2 | 2.27 | 244 | 83.2 | 2.42 | 238 | 71.8 | 4.88 | 85 | 74.3 | 0.61 | 5194 |
| 1:00-1:59 | 63.0 | 1.25 | 1485 | 70.8 | 1.22 | 1191 | 83.0 | 1.13 | 1100 | 88.1 | 82 | 318 | 88. | 2. | 236 | 83.8 | 2.5 | 210 | 73.7 | 5.05 | 76 | 75.5 | 0.03 | 4616 |
| 2:00-2:59 | 63.8 | 1.44 | 1113 | 79,6 | 1.27 | 1007 | 85,3 | 1.23 | 829 | 85.1 | 2.15 | 275 | 82.4 | 2.59 | 216 | 84.7 | 2.57 | 196 | 83.6 | 4.53 | 67 | 717.6 | 0.69 | 3703 |
| 3:00-3:59 | 62.3 | 1.81 | 718 | 75.6 | 1.71 | 630 | 81.4 | 1.76 | 489 | 84.5 | 2.56 | 200 | 83.4 | 2.86 | 169 | 23.3 | 2.98 | 156 | 78.0 | 5.40 | 59 | 74.6 | 0.88 | 2421 |
| 4:00-4:59 | 63.0 | 1.92 | 630 | 74.6 | 1.90 | 527 | 80.2 | 1.89 | 445 | 87.0 | 2.59 | 169 | 84.0 | 3.28 | 125 | 89.4 | 2.58 | 142 | 70.6 | 7.81 | 34 | 74.8 | 0.95 | 2072 |
| 5:00-5:59 | 523 | 2.89 | 298 | 603 | 2.71 | 303 | 67.3 | 3.00 | 245 | 690 | 4.62 | 100 | 73.1 | 5.02 | 78 | 05.2 | 5.73 | 69 | 64.7 | 11.59 | 17 | 63.4 | 1.45 | 1110 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 61.0 | 0.45 | 11856 | 75.0 | 0.45 | 9319 | 81.8 | 0.42 | 8350 | 84.9 | 0.65 | 3014 | 84.1 | 0.81 | 2032 | 83.0 | 0.82 | 2075 | 75.5 | 1.74 | 608 | 74.0 | 0.23 | 37260 |

Table 4-5. Percentage of initial call contacts resuling in refusat, by stze of estabishment and time of day

| \# emptoyeers | 2105 |  |  | 6109 |  |  | 10 10 24 |  |  | 251099 |  |  | 100 to 249 |  |  | 25010999 |  |  | 1000+ |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Refusal | SE N |  | Refusal | SE N |  | $\begin{array}{\|rr} \text { Refusal } \\ \% & \text { SE } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | N | efusai$\%$ | SE | N | efusal$\%$ | SE | N | $\begin{array}{r}6 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | SE | N | $\begin{array}{r} \text { efusal } \\ \% \\ \hline \end{array}$ | SE | N | fusal |  |  |
| Time of call | \% |  |  | \% |  |  | \% | SE N |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8:00-8:59 | 11.1 | 1.41 | 497 | 14.5 | 1.60 | 488 |  |  | 8.4 | 1.30 | 454 | 5.7 | 1.95 | 141 | 7.3 | 2.48 | 110 | 0.4 | 2.07 | 140 | 8.7 | 5.88 | 23 | 10.3 | 0.71 | 1853 |
| 9:00-9.59 | 11.1 | 0.98 | 1028 | 10.0 | 0.97 | 961 | 8.5 | 0.90 |  | 960 | 7.9 | 1.33 | 407 | 4.4 | 1.29 | 251 | 6.6 | 1.50 | 274 | 2.8 | 1.96 | 71 | 9. | 0.45 | 3952 |
| 10:00-10:59 | 9.7 | 0.97 | 927 | 8.4 | 0.991 | 778 | 9.1 | 1.02 | 795 | 8.5 | 1.59 | 307 | 5.9 | 1.58 | 221 | 5.6 | 1.51 | 232 | 4.4 | 3.07 | 45 | 8.5 | 0.49 | 3305 |
| 11:00-11:59 | 8.7 | 0.84 | 1120 | 7.8 | 0.82 | 1078 | 8.4 | 0.85 | 1079 | 7.6 | 1.31 | 407 | 6.1 | 1.58 | 230 | 5.5 | 1.49 | 235 | 7.6 | 3.26 | 66 | 7.9 | 0.42 | 4215 |
| 12:00-12:59 | 8.5 | 0.85 | 1097 | 8.1 | 0.91 | 899 | 0.8 | 0.79 | 1005 | 5.3 | 1.12 | 397 | 5.3 | 1.55 | 208 | 3.0 | 1.22 | 198 | 8.2 | 3.51 | 61 | 7.2 | 0.42 | 3859 |
| 1:00-1:59 | 8.8 | 0.93 | 935 | 7.7 | 0.88 | 915 | 0.8 | 0.83 | 913 | 3.8 | 1.11 | 280 | 7.7 | 1.85 | 208 | 7.4 | 1.97 | 176 | 0.0 | N/A | 56 | 7.3 | 0.44 | 3483 |
| 2:00-2:59 | 9.7 | 1.11 | 710 | 8.2 | 0.97 | 802 | 5.0 | 0.82 | 707 | 2.6 | 1.03 | 234 | 3.9 | 1.46 | 178 | 5.4 | 1.76 | 168 | 5.4 | 3.01 | 56 | 0.8 | 0.47 | 2853 |
| 3:00-3:59 | 8.5 | 1.32 | 447 | 5.9 | 1.88 | 476 | 5.0 | 1.10 | 398 | 5.3 | 1.73 | 169 | 6.4 | 2.06 | 141 | 7.7 | 2.34 | 130 | 4.3 | 3.01 | 46 | 8,4 | 0.58 | 1807 |
| 4:00-4:59 | 0.5 | 1.24 | 397 | 0.4 | 1.23 | 393 | 4.5 | 1.10 | 357 | 27. | 1.34 | 147 | 5.7 | 2.27 | 105 | 3.1 | 1.55 | 127 | 0.0 | N/A | 24 | 5.2 | 0.57 | 1550 |
| 5:00-5:59 | 6.4 | 1.96 | 156 | 6.5 | 1.73 | 201 | 5.5 | 1.77 | 165 | 4.3 | 2.46 | 69 | 3.5 | 2.44 | 57 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 45 | 0.0 | N/A | 11 | 5.3 | 0.84 | 704 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 9.2 | 0.34 | 7308 | 8.5 | 0.33 | 6991 | 7.2 | 0.31 | 6833 | 5.9 | 0.46 | 2558 | 5.7 | 0.56 | 1709 | 5.5 | 0.55 ] | 1723 | 4.6 | 0.98 | 459 | 7.7 | 0.16 | 27581 |

Table 4-6. Percentage of initila call confacts resulling in compleied Interviews, by wie of extabishment and time of day

| \# employees | $2 \text { to } 5$ |  |  | Complete 6 |  |  | 10 to 24Complete |  |  | $25 \text { to } 99$ <br> Complete |  |  | 100 to 249Complate |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c} 250 \text { 10 } 999 \\ \text { Complete } \end{array}$ |  |  | ${ }^{1000+}$ |  |  | Complete |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time of call | \% | SE | N | \% | SE | N | \% | SE | N | \% | SE | N | \% | SE | N | \% | SE | N | \% | SE | N | \% | SE | N |
| 8:00-8:59 | 13.1 | 1.51 | 497 | 10.9 | 1.41 | 488 | 13.9 | 1.62 | 454. | 12.8 | 2.81 | 141 | 13.6 | 3.27 | 110 | 13.6 | 2.89 | 140 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 23 | 12.6 | 0.77 | 1853 |
| 9:00-9.59 | 11.7 | 1.00 | 1028 | 12.9 | 1.08 | 961 | 14.9 | 1.15 | 960 | 12.8 | 1.65 | 407 | 12.0 | 2.05 | 251 | 10.2 | 1.83 | 274 | 12.7 | 3.95 | 71 | 12.8 | 0.53 | 3952 |
| 10:00-10:59 | 10.2 | 1.00 | 927 | 12.5 | 1.18 | 778 | 15.0 | 1.27 | 795 | 11.1 | 1.79 | 307 | 10.4 | 2.05 | 221 | 10.3 | 2.00 | 232 | 6.7 | 3.72 | 45 | 12.0 | 0.56 | 3305 |
| 11:00-11:59 | 14.2 | 1.04 | 1120 | 13.4 | 1.04 | 1078 | 12.3 | 1.00 | 1079 | 13.3 | 1.68 | 407 | 10.0 | 1.98 | 230 | 10,6 | 2.01 | 235 | 7.6 | 3.26 | 68 | 12.9 | 0.52 | 4215 |
| 12:00-12:59 | 10.4 | 0.93 | 1091 | 13.2 | 1.13 | 899 | 12.5 | 1.04 | 1005 | 8.3 | 1.39 | 397 | 12.0 | 2.25 | 20 | 7.1 | 1.8 | 198 | 1.6 | 1.03 | 61 | 11. | 0.5 | 3859 |
| 1:00-1:59 | 12.4 | 1.08 | 935 | 14.1 | 1.15 | 915 | 15.3 | 1.19 | 913 | 15.0 | 2.13 | 280 | 12.5 | 2.29 | 208 | 4.5 | 1.57 | 176 | 5.4 | 3.01 | 56 | 13.3 | 0.58 | 3483 |
| 2:00-2:59 | 11.3 | 1.19 | 710 | 12.3 | 1.16 | 802 | 12.7 | 1.25 | 707 | 15.8 | 2.39 | 234 | 15.2 | 2.69 | 178 | 7.8 | 2.09 | 166 | 10.7 | 4.13 | 56 | 12.3 | 0.62 | 2853 |
| 3:00-3:59 | 10.1 | 1.42 | 447 | 14.9 | 1.63 | 476 | 14.1 | 1.74 | 398 | 12.4 | 2.54 | 169 | 9.9 | 2.52 | 141 | 8.5 | 2.44 | 130 | 6.5 | 3.64 | 46 | 12. | 0.7 | 1807 |
| 4:00-4:59 | 12.8 | 1.88 | 397 | 11.5 | 1.61 | 393 | 10.1 | 1.59 | 357 | 12.9 | 2.77 | 147 | 6.7 | 2.43 | 105 | 11.0 | 2.78 | 127 | 12.5 | 6.75 | 24 | 11.3 | 0.80 | 1550 |
| 5:00-5:59 | 10.9 | 2.49 | 156 | 10.9 | 2.20 | 201 | 7.3 | 2.02 | 165 | 4.3 | 2.46 | 69 | 1.8 | 1.74 | 57 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 45 | 0.0 | N/A | 1 | 78 | 1.01 | 704 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 11.8 | 0.38 | 7308 | 12.8 | 0.401 | 6991 | 13.4 | 0.41 | 6833 | 12.2 | 0.65 | 2558 | 11.2 | 0.76 | 1709 | 9.1 | 0.69 | 1723 | 7.2 | 1.21 | 459 | 12.2 | 0.20 | 27581 |

[^1]Table 4-7. Percentage of inliticl calls resulting in conlact, by type of industry and time of day


Table 4-8. Percentage of Initlal coll contacts resulting in refusal, by type of industry and time of day

| Industry | Agriculture |  |  | Construction |  |  | Finance |  |  | $\underset{\text { Refusal }}{\substack{\text { Manufacturing } \\ \hline}}$ |  |  | Retall |  |  | Services |  |  | $\qquad$ |  |  | Wholesale |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Refusal |  | N | fusal |  | N | \% |  | N |  |  |  | Refusal \% |  | N | \% $\%$ | SE | N |  |  |  | fusal | SE | N |
| 8:00-8:59 | 14.0 | 5.28 | 43 | 14.5 | 2.79 | 159 | 9.5 | 2.41 | 148 | 1313 | 2.50 | 183 | 10.0 | 1.54 | 380 | 8.7 | 1.11 | 645 | 11.6 | 3.28 | 95 | 10.2 | 2.28 | 176 |
| 9.00-9:59 | 12.8 | 2.99 | 125 | 6.1 | 1.48 | 201 | 10.4 | 1.04 | 346 | 7.2 | 1.23 | 443 | 9.4 | 0.96 | 917 | 8.9 | 0.79 | 1295 | 9.4 | 2.01 | 212 | 9.8 | 1.64 | 328 |
| 10:00-10:59 | 6.3 | 2.31 | 111 | 9.8 | 2.03 | 214 | 9.7 | 1.71 | 300 | 6.8 | 1.39 | 318 | 7.4 | 0.92 | 820. | 9.0 | 0.87 | 1070 | 8.9 | 2.36 | 146 | 10.5 | 1.78 | 296 |
| 11:00-11:59 | 8.3 | 2.20 | 157 | 6.5 | 1.41 | 306 | 8.5 | 1.42 | 388 | 8.6 | 1.39 | 407 | 8.4 | 0.88 | 987 | 7.2 | 0.70 | 1340 | 9.9 | 2.09 | 203 | 8.7 | 1.43 | 390 |
| 12:00-12:59 | 7.1 | 2.18 | 140 | 5.5 | 1.33 | 293 | 6.1 | 1.28 | 347 | 9.9 | 1.59 | 354 | 7.9 | 0.88 | 939 | 6.6 | 0.72 | 1190 | 6.1 | 1.71 | 196 | 8.1 | 1.47 | 344 |
| 1:00-1:59 | 12.6 | 2.86 | 135 | 5.0 | 1.42 | 238 | 47 | 1.19 | 318 | 7.3 | 1.39 | 354 | 9.7 | 1.01 | 855 | 6.9 | 0.78 | 1052 | 4.8 | 1.76 | 147 | 5.3 | 1.22 | 339 |
| 2:00-2:59 | 5.5 | 2.66 | 73 | 6.9 | 1.93 | 173 | 9.4 | 1.91 | 234 | 7.0 | 1.55 | 272 | 8\%1 | 0.86 | 743 | 0.7 | 0.82 | 930 | 0.4 | 2.33 | 110 | 7.8 | 1.64 | 269 |
| 3:00-3:59 | 5.1 | 2.86 | 59 | 9.5 | 2.62 | 126 | 9.1 | 2.40 | 143 | 43 | 1.34 | 230 | 7.0 | 1.22 | 441 | 5.1 | 0.94 | 550 | 9.8 | 3.24 | 83 | 6.0 | 1.94 | 150 |
| 4:00-4:59 | 7.0 | 3.38 | 57 | 7.5 | 2.57 | 106 | 5.0 | 1.97 | 121 | 5.0 | 1.62 | 181 | 6.0 | 1.18 | 401 | 45 | 0.93 | 493 | 2.7 | 1.91 | 73 | 5.2 | 2.25 | 97 |
| 5:00-5:59 | 2.9 | 2.90 | 34 | 6.0 | 3.36 | 50 | 8.8 | 4.86 | 34 | 6.9 | 3.00 | 72. | 5.5 | 1.54 | 218 | 4.7 | 1.46 | 211 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 25 | 5.5 | 3.06 | 55 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tolar | 8.7 | 0.92 | 934 | 7.4 | 0.60 | 1926 | 8.1 | 0.56 | 2379 | 7.71 | 0.50 | 2814 | 8.01 | 0.33 | 6701 | 7.3 | 0.28 | 8776 | 7.8 | 0.74 | 129 | 8.1 | 0.55 | 2444 |

Table 4-9. Percentage of inilitil call contacts resuting in completed interviews, by type of induatry and time of day

| Industry | AgricultureComplete |  |  | Construction Complete |  |  | Finance <br> Compiete |  |  | $\qquad$ Complate |  |  | Complete |  |  | ServicesComplete |  |  | Transportation Complete |  |  | Whalesale |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ilme of call | \% | SE | N | \% | SE | N | \% | SE | N | \% | SE | N | \% | SE | N | \% | SE | N | \% | SE | N | \% | SE | N |
| 8:00-8:59 | 14.0 | 5.28 | 43 | 14.5 | 2.79 | 159 | 14.9 | 2.82 | 148 | 14.2 | 2.58 | 183 | 11.1 | 1.61 | 380 | 12.2 | 1.29 | 645 | 12.6 | 3.41 | 95 | 9.7 | 2.23 | 176 |
| 9.00-9:59 | 16.0 | 3.28 | 125 | 15.3 | 2.23 | 201 | 10.7 | 1.06 | 346 | 13.1 | 1.00 | 443 | 11.8 | 1.06 | 917 | 13.4 | 0.95 | 1295 | 11.3 | 2.18 | 212 | 13.4 | 1.88 | 328 |
| 10:00-10:59 | 20.7 | 3.85 | 111 | 11.2 | 2.16 | 214 | 11.0 | 1.81 | 300 | 14.2 | 1.95 | 318 | 9.4 | 1.02 | 820 | 10.8 | 0.95 | 1070 | 15.8 | 3.01 | 146 | 15.5 | 2.11 | 298 |
| 11:00-11:59 | 14.0 | 2.77 | 157 | 14.7 | 2.02 | 306 | 11.1 | 1.59 | 388 | 16.2 | 1.83 | 407 | 11.6 | 1.02 | 987 | 12.7 | 0.91 | 1340 | 13.8 | 2.42 | 203 | 13.1 | 1.71 | 390 |
| 12:00-12:59 | 10.7 | 2.61 | 140 | 14.3 | 2.05 | 293 | 11.0 | 1.68 | 347 | 15.0 | 1.90 | 354 | 10.4 | 1.00 | 939 | 10.3 | 0.88 | 1190 | 12.2 | 2.34 | 196 | 9.0 | 1.54 | 344 |
| 1:00-1:59 | 18.5 | 3.34 | 135 | 16.4 | 2.40 | 238 | 11.3 | 1.78 | 318 | 15.5 | 1.93 | 354 | 11.9 | 1.11 | 855 | 12.6 | 1.02 | 1052 | 8.8 | 2.34 | 147 | 16.8 | 2.03 | 339 |
| 2:00-2:59 | 15.1 | 4.19 | 73 | 12.1 | 2.48 | 173 | 9.0 | 1.87 | 234 | 15.4 | 2.19 | 272 | 11.8 | 1.19 | 743 | 12.8 | 1.10 | 930 | 12.7 | 3.18 | 110 | 11.2 | 1.92 | 269 |
| 3:00-3:59 | 15.3 | 4.88 | 59 | 11.9 | 2.89 | 126 | 9.8 | 2.49 | 143 | 15.2 | 2.37 | 230 | 13.8 | 1.64 | 441 | 11.3 | 1.35 | 550 | 4.8: | 2.35 | 83 | 12.0 | 2.05 | 150 |
| 4:00-4:59 | 14.0 | 4.60 | 57 | 14.2 | 3.39 | 106 | 5.0 | 1.97 | 121 | 11.6 | 2.38 | 181 | 12.7 | 1.66 | 401 | 11.6 | 1.44 | 493 | 4.4 | 2.32 | 73 | 11.3 | 3.22 | 97 |
| 5:00-5:59 | 59 | 4.04 | 34 | 12.0 | 4.60 | 50 | 28 | 2.90 | 34. | 5.6 | 2.70 | 72 | 11.0 | 2.12 | 218 | 6.2 | 1.66 | 211 | 4.0 | 3.92 | 25 | 3.6 | 2.52 | 55 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 15.1 | 1.17 | 934. | 14.0 | 0.79 | 1926 | 10.6 | 0.03 | 2379 | 14.4 | 0.86 | 2814 | 11.4 | 0.39 | 6701 | 11.9 | 0.35 | 8776 | 11.3 | 0.88 | 1290 | 12.6 | 0.67 | 2444 |

[^2]
[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ A few calls were erroneously scheduled outside of the 8:00 a.m. - 6:00 p.m. period, and a few were made on weekends. Hence, the totals may not correspond exactly from one table to another.

[^1]:    Source: 1993 Robert Wood Johnson Foundation Employer Survey
    standard Errors calculated as ( $\left.\mathrm{p}^{*}(1-\mathrm{p}) / \mathrm{N}\right)^{\wedge} .5$
    shaded boxes hold values 2 or more standard errors from cournn total percentage of contacts (approximately a 95 percent confldence interval)

[^2]:    Source. 193 Robert Wood Jo
    Standard Errors calculated as $\left(\mathrm{p}^{\star}(1-\mathrm{p}) / \mathrm{N}\right)^{\wedge} .5$
    Shaded boxes hold values 2 or more standard errors from column total percentage of contacts (approximately a 95 percent conflence interval)

