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1. Introduction $\frac{\text { In a previous paper, Wilson and Koehler }}{}$ (1988) used the generalized-Dirichlet multinomial model to account for extra variation. The model allows for a second order of pairwise correlation among units, a type of assumption found reasonable in some biological data. In that paper, the two-way crossed generalized Dirichlet Multinomial model was used to analyze repeated measure on the categorical preferences of insurance customers. The number of respondents was assumed to be fixed and known.

In this paper a generalization of the model is made allowing the number of respondents $m$, to be random. Thus both the number of units $m$, and the underlying probability vector are allowed to vary. The model presented here uses the logarithmic series distribution to account for the variation among number of units and the Dirichlet distribution to model the probabilities. In particular the DirichletMultinomial distribution is used to incorporate the two types of variation, and the logarithmic series distribution is used to account for variation among the number of units within a given time period. Ignoring either level of variation leads to underestimation of the true standard errors of estimated proportions.

Tallis (1962) proposed the use of the generalized-multinomial model for dependent multinomials. Wilson and Koehler (1988) extended the model to allow for a second random component. The extended model considered can be viewed as multivariate extensions of the betabinomial and correlated binomial models considered by Kupper and Haseman (1978) and Crowder (1978) for binary data. Paul (1987) considered a modification of the beta-correlated binomial as, a means of analyzing affected foetuses in litters of live foetuses. Section 2 outlines the generalized-multinomial model. Section 3 discusses the Dirichlet-Multinomial model. Section 4 presents the generalized Dirichlet-Multinomial model. The logarithmic distribution is presented in Section 5. An extended generalized Dirichlet-Multinomial model is developed in Section 6. Tests for certain hypotheses and fit of the model are developed in Section 7. Parameter estimates are obtained in Section 8.

> 2. Generalized-Mu1tinomial Model

One way to view the generalized-multinomial model is to consider mJ vectors of outcomes for a set of $J$ units that are simultaneously subjected to a series of $m$ trials. At each trial, each unit is classified as being in exactly one of I mutually exclusive states. Let the random variable $X_{i j k}$ take the value 1 if the $k-t h$ trial of the $j-t h^{j}{ }^{\text {undit }}$ is observed to be in the i-th state, and zero otherwise. The probability that $X_{i j k}$ takes the value 1
is assumed to be $\pi_{i}$ for any unit and any trial. Furthermore, for each unit the $m$ trials are identical and independent so upon summing across trials $X_{j}=\left(X_{1 j}, X_{2 j}, \ldots, X_{I j}\right)^{\prime}$, the vector of
 distribution with probability vector $\pi=\left(\pi_{1}\right.$, $\left.\pi_{2}, \ldots, \pi_{I}\right)^{\prime}$ and sample size $m$. However, responses ${ }^{\text {I }}$ given by the $J$ units at a particular trial may be correlated, producing a set of $J$ correlated multinomial random vectors, $X_{2}, X_{2}$, .... X ${ }^{\text {. }}$

Tailis (1962) developed a model for this situation, which he called the generalizedmultinomial distribution in which a single parameter $\rho$, is used to reflect the common dependency between any two of the dependent multinomial random vectors. The distribution of the category total $X_{i j}=\sum_{k=1}^{m} X_{i j k}$ is binomial with sample size $m$ and parameter $\pi_{i}$, for each unit. Tallis formalized the dependencies among unit totals by specifying the joint moment generating function as

$$
\begin{align*}
& G_{J}(\mu)=\rho\left\{\sum_{i=0}^{m} P_{i}\left(\prod_{j=1}^{J} e^{u_{j}}\right)^{i}\right\}+ \\
& (1-\rho)\left\{\prod_{j=1}^{J} P\left(e^{u_{j}}\right)\right\}, 1,2 \ldots \ldots I,  \tag{2.1}\\
& e \quad P\left(e^{u_{r}}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{I} p_{i} e^{i u_{r}} \text { and } L^{=}=\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2},\right.
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\text { arameter } \rho \text { appearing in (2.1) }
$$

$\ldots, \mu_{J}$ )'. The parameter $\rho$ appearing in (2.1) is the correlation coefficient between $X_{i}$ and $X_{i j}$, for any $j \neq j^{\prime}$. When $\rho \neq 0, G_{J}(\mathrm{u})$ ij a welghted mean of moment generating function for a distribution with perfect correlation and one with complete independence, the weights being $\rho$ and $1-\rho$ respectively. Altham (1978) proposed a similar model for a joint moment generating function for correlated binary variables.

Consider the overall vector of category
totals $\underset{\sim}{X}=\sum_{j=1}^{X} X_{j}$. From the moment generating function in (2.1) it can be shown that $E(\underset{\sim}{X})=J m_{\pi}$ and $V(\underset{\sim}{X})=\operatorname{Jm}\left\{1+(J-1)_{\rho}\right\} M_{\pi}$ for the generalized-multinomial model, wher $\tilde{\sim}$
$M_{\pi}=\operatorname{diag}(\pi)-\sim_{\sim}^{\pi} n^{\prime}$ and $\operatorname{diag}(\pi)$ is a diagonal mãtrix with diagonal elements provided by the vector $\pi$. Consequently, $\pi=(\mathrm{Jm})^{-x}$ is an unbiased estimator for ${ }^{2} \pi$. Tâlis (1962) proposed estimators for ${ }^{2} \rho$, but he did not discuss techniques for making inferences about $\pi$. We consider here a technique for making such Znferences.

One approach is to use the limiting normal distribution of $X$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. At trial $k$ consider an IJ-dimensionaí response vector $X_{\sim k}(J)=\left({\underset{\sim}{1}}^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}\right.$,

 at the $k$-th trial. Define ${\underset{V}{(J)}}_{X_{(J)}}^{\sum_{k=1} X \quad i_{k}(J)}$ Since the $X_{k}(J)$ vectors are independent and the first and second moments of $X(J)$ are finite, the multivariate central limít theorem implies
 vector of ones, $@^{2}$ denotes direct product between and $Q$ is a square matrix of dimension $J$ with ones on the diagonal and $\rho$ as each off diagonal element. Now $X=G X(J)$ where $G=1_{J}^{\prime} @ E_{T}$, and $E_{I}$ is the identity matrix of dimension $I$. Then, by Rao (1973 page 124) the limiting distribution of $\pi_{\sim}^{\pi}$ is specified by
$m^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(\hat{\pi}-\sim_{\sim}^{\pi} \rightarrow N_{I}\left(\eta,(m J)^{-1}\{1+(J-1) \rho\} M_{\pi}\right)\right.$.
Given a consistent estimator for $\rho$, asymptotic chi-square tests involving sufficiently smooth functions of $\pi$ can be obtained as Wald statistics,

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{W}^{2}=m J\{1+(J-1) \rho\}^{-1}[g(\hat{\pi})-  \tag{2.3}\\
& g(\pi)]^{\prime}\left[D M_{\pi}^{\wedge} D^{\prime}\right]^{-}[g(\hat{\pi})-g(\pi)]
\end{align*}
$$

where $D$ is the matrix of first partial derivatives of $g$ evaluated at $\pi^{\pi}$, and $\left[D M^{\wedge} D\right]^{-}$is a generalized inverse of $\mathrm{DM}^{\wedge} \mathrm{D}$. The degrees of freedom correspond to the rank of $D M_{\pi} D^{\prime}$. In some applications it may be necessary to replace replace D with D (i.e. D evaluated at $\pi$ ). 3. Dirichlet-Multinomial Model

For each of $N$ units abserve a multinomial vector of responses, with parameters $p=$ ( $p_{1}$, $p_{2}, \ldots, p_{I}$ )' and sample size S. Furthermore' assume the probability vector $p$ has a Dirichlet distribution with mean vector $\underset{\sim}{\pi}=\left(\pi_{1}, \pi_{2}, \ldots\right.$, $\pi_{I}$ )' and scaling parameter $\alpha$.

For this model the sum of the vector of counts has a Dirichlet-Multinomial distribution and the vector of proportions has first moment $\pi$ and covariance matrix $\mathrm{N}^{-1}(\mathrm{~S}+\alpha)(1+\alpha)^{-1} \mathrm{M}_{\pi}$. The Dirichlet distribution provides a convenient model for describing variation among vectors of proportions since it has relatively simple mathematical properties. The DirichletMultinomial model has been studied by Mosimann (1962) and Good (1965). Brier (1980) used the model to analyze sample proportions obtained from a single two-stage cluster sample. Koehler and Wilson (1986) extended some of Brier's results to analyze vectors of proportions obtained from several two-stage cluster samples.
4. Generalized Dirichlet-Multinomial Mode1 Multinomial model, Wilson and Koehler (1988), is reviewed for which the observed vectors of counts may be correlated as in the generalizedmultinomial model. Suppose for a given time $t$, $J$ units are randomly selected from a population for which the vectors of proportions are distributed with respect to a Dirichlet distribution with parameter $\sigma$ and $\pi_{t}=\left(\pi_{1 t}, \pi_{2 t}\right.$,
...., $\left.\pi_{T t}\right)^{\prime}$.
As in the generalized-multinomial model, the $X_{j t}$ vectors ( $\mathrm{j}=1,2 \ldots . . J$ ) are identically distributed and are not independent. The observations taken at time $t$ on the $J$ individuals are equally pairwise correlated as measured by the parameter $\rho$. The vector of
 the generalized Dirichlet-Multinomial model, has mean vector $E(X)=N_{\pi}$ and covariance matrix $V(\underset{\sim}{x})=\operatorname{NC}\{1+\rho(\tilde{Y}-1)\} M_{\pi}^{2}$, where $N=n S$ is the total number of observations, $S$ is the total number of units at time $t$ and $C=(S+\sigma)(1+\sigma)^{-1}$. Using an argument similar ${ }_{-1}^{2}$ to the one in section 2 , it can be shown that $\mathrm{n}^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(\underset{\sim}{\mathrm{X}}-\mathrm{N}_{\sim}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{I}}\left(\underset{\sim}{0}, \mathrm{SC}\{1+(\mathrm{J}-1) \rho\} \mathrm{M}_{\pi}\right)$ and tests of hypotheses about $\pi_{2}^{\pi}$ or vector functions $g(\pi)$, where $g$ is a continuous function with second partial derivatives, can be obtained using the large sample chi-square distributions for the Wald statistic

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{N}\{C\{1+(J-1) \rho\}\}^{-1}(g(\underset{\sim}{\hat{\pi}})-  \tag{4.1}\\
& \mathrm{g}(\underset{\sim}{\pi}))^{\prime}\left(\mathrm{DM}_{\sim} \mathrm{D}^{\prime}\right)^{-}(\mathrm{g}(\underset{\sim}{\tilde{\pi}})-\mathrm{g}(\underset{\sim}{\pi})),
\end{align*}
$$

where $\left[\mathrm{DM} \mathrm{N}^{2} \mathrm{D}^{\prime}\right]^{-}$denotes the generalized inverse of $D M_{\pi} D^{\prime},{ }^{\sim}$ with degrees of freedom equal to rank of $D M_{\pi}^{\pi} D^{\prime}$. The greater imprecision in the estimation for $\pi$ due to variation in vectors of proportion among individuals is accounted for by the factor $C$ which cannot be less than one. The consequence of ignoring this extra variation is an inflation of the type $I$ error levels for such tests.

## 5. The Univariate Logarithmic <br> Series Distribution

The logarithmic series distribution was introduced by Fisher, Corbett and Williams (1943) to investigate the distribution of butterflies in the Malayan Peninsula. It has been used in the sampling of quadrants for plant species, the distribution of animal species, population growth and in economic applications. Chatfield et al (1966) used the logarithmic series distribution to represent the distribution of numbers of items of a product purchased by a buyer in a specified time period. They point out that the logarithmic series has the advantage of dependency on only one parameter $\theta$.

The random variable $M$ has a logarithmic series distribution if the probability function $P(M=k)=a \theta^{k} / k \quad(k=1,2, \ldots .0 ; 0<\theta<1)$
where $a=-[\log (1-\theta)]^{-1}$. The probabilities are equivalent to the terms in the series expansion of $-\mathrm{a} \log (1-\theta)$. Thus it is a power series distribution. Johnson and Kotz (1969) and Patil and Wani (1965), have given the moment generating function of $M$ as $E\left(e^{t f}\right)=\left[\log \left(1-\theta e^{t}\right)\right] /[\log (1-\theta)]$,
so the variance is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { he variance is } \\
& \operatorname{var}(M)=a \theta(1-a \theta)(1-\theta)^{-2},
\end{aligned}
$$

with mean
$E(M)=a \theta /(1-\theta)$. Since $\theta<1$, then it follows that the ratio

Hence the maximum value of $P(M=k)$ is at the initial value $k=1$ and the value of $P(M=k)$ decreases as $k$ increases.

In the model presented in section 6 the number of clusters $J$, and the total number of observation $S$ from the $J$ clusters may be expected to increase proportionately. The logarithmic series distribution is used here to explain the variation in the number of units in the cluster. Supposing the 'index of diversity', $\delta$ remains constant then $S$ and $J$ whyt be expected to be related by the formula $\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{J} / \delta}=1+\mathrm{S} / \delta$. If $\mathrm{S} / \delta$ is large then $\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{J} / \delta}=\mathrm{S} / \delta$.

The idea to use the logarithmic series distribution in conjunction with the Dirichlet distribution is a result of work done by Engen (1975). He demonstrated the use of the limit of the Dirichlet distribution in deriving the logarithmic series distributions.

Consider the joint conditional distribution of $m_{1}, m_{2} \ldots m_{J}$ for each $\underset{J}{ }$ fixed sum $\Sigma m_{j}=S$ i.e.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P\left(M_{j}=m_{j} ; j=1,2 \ldots J \mid \sum_{j=1} m_{j}=S\right)= \\
& S!/ J!\prod_{j=1}^{J}\left(1 / m_{j}\right) / F(s, J),
\end{aligned}
$$

for $1<\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{j}}<\mathrm{S}-(\mathrm{J}-1)$, and for any integer $\mathrm{S}>\mathrm{J}$ and where $\overline{\mathrm{F}}(\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{J})$ is the absolute value $\overline{\text { of }}$ the stirling number of the first kind. The sum $\Sigma \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{i}}$, is a sufficient statistic since the conditional distribution do not depend upon the parameter $\theta$. The sum $\Sigma \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{j}}$, is a complete sufficient statistic for $\theta$ and ${ }^{j} P\left(M_{j}=m_{j} \mid \Sigma M_{j}=S\right)$ is minimum variance unbiased estimator of ${ }^{j}$ the probability function of LSD. Shanmygam and Singh (1984) noted that $E\left(m_{j} \mid \Sigma M_{j}=s\right)=S n^{-1}$ regardless of the under1ying probability distribution for the random sample.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 6. An Extended Generalized } \\
& \text { Dirichlet-Multinomial Model }
\end{aligned}
$$

The logarithmic series distribution discussed in the previous section is used to extend the generalized Dirichlet-Multinomial model. The number of units per cluster is assumed to vary according to a logarithmic series distribution. Thus both $m$ and the probability vector associated with each cluster are allowed to be random variables and we have

$$
h(t)=\int \sum g_{m} f_{m}(P, t) \phi(P) d P
$$

where $f_{m}(P, t) \phi(P)$ represents the conditional distribution for given $m$, and represented here by the generalized Dirichlet-Multinomial. The term, $g_{m}=P(M=m)$ represents the marginal distribution of the sample sizes. Here the conditional distribution given a sum of random samples from logarithmic distribution is used to represent such a marginal distribution.

The problem of obtaining expressions for $h(t)$
is now considerably magnified by the nature of the expression for the conditional distribution given a sum of logarithmic series distribution variables. However, the first and second moments of the distribution $h(t)$ can be found.

Under the generalized Dirichlet-Multinomial model the covariance matrix for the conditional distribution of $\underset{\sim}{X}$ for given $m$ is

$$
V_{m}(X)=m B M
$$

$$
\stackrel{\pi}{2}
$$

where $B=\operatorname{RC}\{1+\rho(J-1\}$ and $R=n J$. Thus the moments for the distribution given by $h(t)$ are
and

$$
\mathrm{E}(\underset{\sim}{\mathrm{X}})=\mathrm{SR} \mathrm{R}_{\Omega} .
$$

It follows that the covariance matrix, $V(\underset{\sim}{X})$ can be written as
where

$$
t=(1-a \theta)(1-\theta)^{-1} R^{2} B^{-1}
$$

From ( ) $\mathrm{a}=[-\log (1-\theta)]^{-1}$ and $0<\theta<1$. Also $R^{2} B^{-1}=R^{-1}\{1+\rho(J-1)\}^{-1}$
$V(X)=S B\left\{\left[1-J^{-2} B(S-J)(J-1)\right] M_{\pi}+J^{-2} B(S-J)(J-1) \pi \pi^{\prime}\right.$. The covariance matrix has some simularities with the covariance matrix under the general Dirichlet-Multinomial. In the extended model case the variance is a sum of the variation due to the generalized Dirichlet Multinomial and the variation due to the variation among the samples sizes. Thus when the variance among the sample sizes is small there is little difference between the two models, generalized Dirichlet Multinomial and the extended generalized Dirichlet Multinomial. Certainly there is no difference between the models when there is one unit per cluster.

Similar to the assumption in (2.2) with the appropriate covariance matrix and given consistent estimator for $C$ and $\{1+\rho(J-1\}$ asymptotic chi-square tests involving sufficiently smooth functions of $\pi$ can be obtained as Wald statistics,

$$
\left.x_{\mathrm{GLD}}^{2}=[g(\hat{\pi})-g(\pi)]^{\prime}[\hat{D V D}]^{\prime}\right]^{-}[g(\hat{\pi})-g(\pi)]
$$

where [DVD'] is a generalized inverse of $\hat{D V D}^{\prime}$ and $V$ is a consistent estimate of $V(X)$ in ( ). The degrees of freedom correspond to the rank of DVD'.
7. Test of the Model Assumptions

In using the extended generalized DirichletMultinomial model there arethree basic assumptions:
a) the correlations between the units $X_{i}$, and $\underset{\not Z j}{ }{ }_{j}$, are constant for any $j \neq j$ ' b) the $X_{j}{ }_{j}{ }_{j}^{\prime}{ }_{j=1}$, $\underset{2}{\mathfrak{j}}, \ldots, \mathrm{~J}$; are identically multinomially distributed and c) the sample sizes are distributed as logarithmic series distribution. Test statistics were presented to assess the validity of the first two assumptions by Wilson \& Koehler (1988). Large sample tests for the covariance structure associated with the Dirichlet-Multinomial model were given by Wilson (1986) and by Koehler and Wilson (1986). Here we make mention of some procedures for testing

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V(\underset{\sim}{X})=\operatorname{SBM}_{\pi}^{\pi}+\operatorname{Sn}^{2} C^{2}\{1+\rho(J-1)\}^{2}(S-J)(J-1) \pi \pi^{\prime} \\
& =S B \Delta_{\sim}-\left[S B S J^{-2}(S-J)(J-1) B^{2}\right]_{\sim \pi}{ }^{\prime} \\
& =\operatorname{SB}\left\{\Delta_{\pi}-\left[1-J^{-2} B(S-J)(J-1)\right] \pi \pi^{\prime}\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V(\underset{\sim}{X})=n^{-1} \text { SBM }_{\sim}^{\pi}+S^{-2}(S-J)(J-1) B^{2} \pi^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \\
& \left.=n^{-1} \operatorname{SB\{ M} \underset{\pi}{\pi}+n B J^{-2}(S-J)(J-1) \pi \pi^{\prime}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

that $m_{1}, m_{2} \ldots m_{J}$ belong to a logarithm series distribution. One method of testing that $\mathrm{m}_{1}$, $m_{2} \ldots m_{J}$ is a random sample from a logarithmic series distribution is to consider the characterization of the distribution, Shanmugen and Singh (1984). For any fixed s, let

$$
Q=(\underset{\sim}{m}-\mu)^{\prime} \Sigma^{-}(\underset{\sim}{m}-\mu)
$$

be a test statistic where $\underset{\sim}{m}=\left(m_{1}, m_{2} \ldots, m_{J}\right)$ ' is the observed vector, and $\tilde{\mu}^{\mu=}\left(u_{1}, \mu_{2} \ldots, \mu_{J}\right)^{\prime}$ with $u_{j}=E\left(m_{j} \mid \sum m_{j}=s\right)$ is the vector of expected values and $\Sigma{ }^{1}\left\{\operatorname{cov}^{2}\left(m_{j} m_{i}\right) \mid \Sigma m_{i}=S\right\}$ is the vector of
weights. The ${ }^{j}$ rank of $\Sigma$ is $\mathrm{J}-1$. It can be shown that asymptotically

$$
\begin{array}{rll}
\operatorname{asymptotically} & & \\
\left.\operatorname{cov}\left(m_{j} m_{j}\right) \mid \Sigma m_{i}=S\right) & \sim S(S-J)(J-1) / J^{2} & j=j ' \\
& \cong-S(S-J) / J^{2} & j \neq j^{\prime} .
\end{array}
$$

The structure of the dispersion matrix $\Sigma$ is of the intraclass correlation matrix type. Thus $Q$ simplifies to

$$
Q \sim(S-J)^{-1}\left[(S / J) \sum_{j=1}^{J} n_{j}^{2}-S\right] .
$$

Through the asymptotic distribution of $\sum_{j=1}^{J} m_{j}{ }^{2}$, it can be shown (Shanmugam and Singh 1984) that for a given level of significance $\alpha$, we would reject the null hypothesis that a random sample $m_{1}, m_{2} \ldots m_{J}$ is from a logarithmic series distribution if

$$
\left\lvert\, \frac{\sum m_{j}^{2}-S(S-J+1)}{\left.\sqrt{S(J-1)\binom{S-J+1}{3}} \right\rvert\, \geq Z_{\alpha / 2}, ~ . ~}\right.
$$

where $Z_{g / 2}$ is the $(1-\alpha / 2)$ th percentile of the standard ${ }^{( }$normal distribution.

> 8. Parameter Estimates

The problem of estimating $\theta$ given values of $J$ random variables $m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{J}$; each having a logarithmic series distribution has been considered by Johnson and Kotz (1969). The maximum likelihood estimator $\theta$ satisfies the equation for $\bar{m}$ (the mean of the m's) where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{m}=J^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{J} m_{j}=-\hat{\theta}\{(1-\hat{\theta}) \log (1-\hat{\theta})\}^{-1} \tag{8.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the logarithmic distribution is a generalized power series distribution equation (8.1) can be solved by equating the sample and population means. Other estimators of $\theta$ are presented in Johnson and Kotz.

When using the logarithmic series distribution to obtain an extension of the generalized Dirichlet distribution to test hypotheses concerning $g(\pi)$ there is no need to obtain estimates of $\theta$. However, estimates of $C$ and $\{1+\rho(J-1)\}$ must be obtained.

Methods of estimating $C$ and $\{1+\rho(J-1)\}$ are presented by Wilson and Koehler (1988). One set of estimators can be obtained by constructing an IxJ and an Ixn table. From each table obtaid the Pearson chi-square statistic $X_{(1 J)}^{2}$ and $\left.X_{(I n)}^{( }\right)$
for testing independence in a two-way for testing independence in a two-way contingency table.
Then $\hat{C}=X_{(I J J)}^{2} /(I-1)(J-1)$ and $\{1+\rho(J-1)\}=X_{(I n)}^{2} /$ References
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